

RE: results

Page 1 of 2

From: Harwood, Valerie [vharwood@cas.usf.edu]
Sent: Monday, December 11, 2006 6:57 AM
To: Tamzen Macbeth; Sorenson, Kent; Olsen, Roger
Subject: RE: results

I agree w/Tamzen and Kent. This is method development in a relatively novel research area— nothing standard about it.

Valerie J. (Jody) Harwood
Dept. Biology, SCA 110
University of South Florida
4202 E. Fowler Ave
Tampa, FL 33620
(813) 974-1524 (Phone)
(813) 974-3263 (Fax)

From: Tamzen Macbeth [mailto:tmacbeth@northwind-inc.com]
Sent: Friday, December 08, 2006 8:15 AM
To: Sorenson, Kent; Olsen, Roger; Harwood, Valerie
Subject: RE: results

I agree with Kent. While PCR itself may be standard, the process of developing the biomarker procedure is NOT standard. In fact, we haven't even finished developing/verifying the analysis and so I think any disclosure of results at this point is premature. In addition, North Wind is not a commercial lab, and so one could argue that point as well. The entire process is highly specialized and is more appropriately considered "developmental" and "cutting edge" rather than "standard".

From: Sorenson, Kent [mailto:SorensonKS@cdm.com]
Sent: Thu 12/7/2006 9:35 PM
To: Olsen, Roger; Tamzen Macbeth; Harwood, Valerie
Subject: RE: results

Roger,

To me it comes down to your definition of "standard analytical procedures". While one could argue about whether the PCR or other techniques might be considered "standard", I would think we would be justified in saying this stuff is not standard given that we're dealing with a potential biomarker that has not previously been demonstrated, and for which we had to design new primers. In that sense this is uncharted territory. What does everyone else think?

Kent

Kent S. Sorenson, Jr., Ph.D., P.E.
Vice President
CDM
1331 Seventeenth Street, Suite 1200
Denver, Colorado 80202
Phone: (303) 383-2430 (direct)
Reception: (303) 298-1311
Fax: (303) 293-8236

2/7/2008

PI-Harwood00003465

RE: results

Page 2 of 2

E-mail: SorensonKS@cdm.com

Internet: <<http://www.CDM.com/>>

From: Olsen, Roger

Sent: Thursday, December 07, 2006 12:41 PM

To: Sorenson, Kent; Tamzen Macbeth; Harwood, Valerie

Subject: results

We are proposing to release "all analytical data" to the defendants. However, we don't want to release any of the PCR/molecular tracking results at this time. Would the following statement perclude the PCR results?

- o **We will deliver to Defendants' copies of *all* chemical and bacteriological analytical results produced by standard analytical procedures and received from commercial labs (excluding any expert directed assessment, manipulation, evaluation, &/or interpretation, and opinions of the analytical results) from all media (litter, soil, gw, surface water (lakes, rivers, streams) springs, & sediments).**

If not, any suggestion of additional or different words?

2/7/2008

PI-Harwood00003466